



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/645,060

08/21/2003

Olaseni B. Duro-Emanuel

101612-5026-US

6554

28977 7590 03/02/2010
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
1701 MARKET STREET
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-2921

EXAMINER

RANKINS, WILLIAM E

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3684

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/02/2010

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/645,060	Applicant(s) DURO-EMANUEL ET AL.	
	Examiner WILLIAM E. RANKINS	Art Unit 3684	

All Participants:

(1) WILLIAM E. RANKINS.

(2) Kambiz Abdi.

Status of Application: RCE

(3) Alison Weisberg.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 24 February 2010

Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

101 non-functional descriptive material and 112 1st written description

Claims discussed:

35, 51, 59, 70-72

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Participants discussed the reasons for and arguments against the rejections above. Participants disagreed on the reasoning for the rejections and adequate support therefore. Applicants' representative was advised that multiple examiners, both SPE and primary, agreed that the specification did not provide support for the claim amendments as currently presented to the office.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Kambiz Abdi/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3684

/William E Rankins/

Examiner, Art Unit 3684

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)